UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,473	08/03/2001	Alex Urich	CTREE-72853(155696033-P01	7208
24201 FULWIDER PA	7590 10/09/2007 ATTON LLP		EXAMINER	
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER		20	BOUCHELLE, LAURA A	
	FER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR ELES, CA 90045 ART UNIT PAPER I		PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	¥	,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/922,473	URICH, ALEX	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura A. Bouchelle	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	;
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (6), cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communishandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under I 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matt	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,16,18-22,24 and 25 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,16,18-22,24 and 25 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a size and	eted. or election requirement.	by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 893)	A) [T] Intension.	Summan/ (PTO 413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/25/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Easley (US 6599271). Easley discloses a device for preventing post occlusion flow surges during eye surgery comprising a tube, a filter housing coupled to the tube, a flow restrictor, and a filter (Abstract). Easley discloses that the flow restrictor can be less than 1.5 mm for a phacoemulsification surgery. The examiner is interpreting the limitation "fixed diameter" to mean that the diameter does not change during use of the device. Although the diameter of the

restrictor of Easley can be adjusted to suit the needs of the procedure, it is interpreted that the diameter is "fixed" as it does not change during use; in other words, it is fixed at the appropriate diameter for the duration of the procedure. The limitation "using a flexible and resilient aspiration line...having an inner diameter between 1.5 and 2.5 millimeters" is interpreted as an intended use limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3,5, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easley.
- 6. Claims 3, 18, 21, 24 differ from Easley in calling for the flow restrictor to be located within an output luer attached to the filter housing. Easley discloses the flow restrictor located within the output connector 14 of the filter housing, but fails to teach that the connector is a luer. It is well known in the art to connect medical components using luer connectors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the connector of Easley to be a luer connector.
- 7. Claims 5, 20 differ from Easley in calling for the input tube to have an inner diameter of between 1.5 and 2.5 millimeters. Easley is silent on the diameter of the tubing. Where the only

Application/Control Number: 09/922,473 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the

claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform

differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior

art device. See MPEP 2144.04. The device of Easley and applicant's invention are used for the

same purpose, and therefore, it would be expected that the device of Easley would perform

equally well if it had the dimensions as laid out in claims 5, 20.

8. Claims 21, 22 are considered to be product by process claims. These claims are not

limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied but the steps. The

patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP 2113.

9. Claims 4, 19, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easley in

view of Maaskamp (US 6149633).

10. Claim 4, 19, 25 differ from Easley in calling for the flow restrictor diameter to be

determined by a scaling insert. Maaskamp teaches a flow control system having a flow restrictor

having the inner diameter determined by a scaling insert so that the flow of fluid can be precisely

controlled. See Fig. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time of invention to modify the device of Easely to have a scaling insert as taught by

Maaskamp so that the flow of fluid can be controlled.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2-5,16,18-22,24,25 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,473 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle

Examiner

Art Unit 3763

MICHOLAS DI LUCCHESI

SUPERVISORA PRETOTERIZAMINER

TECHROLOGY OF THE 3700